IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 24096 of 2004

Between:

K.Mallikarjuna Reddy S/o.Late Rami Reddy
At present residing at 2/27/2 Mallikarjunanagar Opp.Uppal Bus Depot
Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of A.P. Rep. by its Mandal Revenue Officer
Santhabommali Mandal, Santhabommali, Srikakulam District.
2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh Rep. by its Revenue Divisioanl Officer
tekkali, Srikakulam District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the in action on the part of the 1st respondent in not issuing the title deeds, pattadar pass books and adangals under ROR Act in favour of the petitioner for the land in S.No.332 with an extent of AC 45.32 cents in Marripadu Village, Santhabommali Mandal, Srikakulam District is highly illegal and arbitrary and against the Art 14 and 300.A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 1st respondent to issue title deeds, pattadar pass books and adangals in favour of the petitioner for the aforesaid land and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.V.V.N.NARAYANA RAO

Counsel for Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner claims that his father has purchased an extent of Ac.45-32 cents of land in survey No.332, Marripadu village, Santhabommali Mandal vide registered sale deed dated 23-7-1967 and the petitioner being a successor, is in possession and enjoyment of the same. That on demise of his father, the petitioner stated to have made a representation to the first respondent to issue title deed, pattadar pass books and adangals in his favour. Since, no action was taken on the said representation, the petitioner made a representation to the Revenue Divisional Officer /second respondent, who in turn stated to have directed the first respondent to enquire into the matter and take necessary action. It is also stated that on a letter addressed by the A.P.State Legal Services Authority letter dated 15-6-2004, the District Collector has also addressed a letter dated 11-8-2004 to the first respondent to conduct an enquiry, verify the records and take necessary action. Alleging that no action is being taken by the first respondent for issuance of the Pattadar passbook and title deeds, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner and learned Government for Revenue. Both counsel agreed for disposal of the writ petition at the admission stage, with a direction to respondents to dispose of the application of the petitioner.

In the above circumstances, without going into the merits of the matter, the writ

petition is disposed of, directing the first respondent to pass appropriate orders on the application of the petitioner for issuance of pattadar pass book and titled deeds, expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, if any pending. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

23-12-2004

TVK

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Government of A.P.
Santhabommali Mandal, Santhabommali, Srikakulam District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Government of Andhra Pradesh

Tekkali, Srikakulam District.

3. Two CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court of A.P. Buildings,

Hyderabad

(OUT)

4. 2 CD copies

5. 2 CCs to